© Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2011



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LVI വാല്യം 56

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

2nd August 2011 2011 ആഗസ്റ്റ് 2 11th Sravana 1933 1933 ശ്രാവണം 11

No. നമ്പർ 31

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 821/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Plantation Corporation of Kerala, Athirappally Estate, Kalady Plantation P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kalady Plantation Workers Union (CITU), Athirappally, Kalady Plantation P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the retirement given to Smt. V. K. Aisha, Tapper of Plantation Corporation, Athirappally Estate on 14-2-2010 by the management is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what relief she is entitled to?

G.O. (Rt.) No. 823/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Century Hospital, Mulakuzha P. O., Chenganoor and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Joby John, Ebenezer Home, Mulakuzha P. O., Chenganoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Joby John, Assistant X-Ray Technician, Century Hospital, Mulakuzha, Chenganoor by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 835/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, M/s Sanadi Fountains and Aqua Projects India Private Limited, Athani P. O., Nedumbassery and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri M. R. Rajan, Kuttikkattuveli, Vayalar (E) P. O., Cherthala-688 536 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the termination from service of Shri M. R. Rajan, Electrical Engineer, M/s Sanadi Fountains and Aqua Projects India (P) Limited by the management is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

G.O. (Rt.) No. 837/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Anand Gas, Palarivattom, Ernakulam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, All Kerala Gas Agencies Thozhilali Union (CITU), CPI (M) Area Committee Office, A. P. Varkey Smaraka Mandiram, Palluruthy, Kochi-6 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether Shri Reghuvaran, Delivery Boy, Anand Gas Agency, Palarivattom is eligible to get service benefits for the service rendered by him in M/s Anand Gas, Palarivattom or not?
- 2. If yes, what is the quantum of such service benefit?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 839/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Ricoh India limited, Ernakulam North, Kaloor, Kochi-18., 2. The Manager, Ricoh India Limited,

Ernakulam North, Kaloor, Kochi-18 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Lakshmikutty w/o Velukutty, Kunnunkal Padathu Veedu, Kachappilly Road, Vyttila, Kochi-19 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the denial of employment of Smt. Lakshmikutty, Sweeper by the management of Ricoh India Limited is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 840/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Suresh Babu, Thottuchira, Udayanapuram P. O., Vaikom, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. V. Sharngadharan, Ettuparayil Veedu, Udayanapuram P. O., Vaikom in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

- 1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. V. Sharngadharan, Worker, 'Tourist Land', Vaikom by the management is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what relief the workman entitled to ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 841/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Walardy Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O., Idukki and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Highrange Plantation Workers Union, Peermade P. O., Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the dismissal of Shri Durai Raj, C. R. No. 3123 from the services of Walardy Estate is justifiable? If not what reliefs he is entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 842/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O., 2. The Managing Director, H.M.L. Limited, Wellington Island, Kochi and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Vice President, Kerala State Estate Workers Union (INTUC), Vandiperiyar, Pin-685 533 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Murugesan, C. R. No. 3758 by the management of Wallardie Estate is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(9)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 853/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Wellington Island, Kochi-682 003, (2) The Manager, Isfield Estate, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Kalthuruthy P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Shri P. S. Cherian, General Secretary, Plantation Employees Union (CITU), Punalur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the dismissal of Samuel Kutty, No. 2652, Driver of Isfield Estate, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Kalthuruthy by the management is justifiable?
- 2. If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 861/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri G. Raveendran Nair, Sreekrishnavilasom Chitty Fund, Chavara, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri R. Vijayan, Kuzhimelil Padinjattathil, Kulangara Bhagom P. O., Chavara, Kollam (Communication Address) [Shri R. Vijayan, Chavattekkattil Veedu, Puthukkad P. O., Chavara, Kollam (Permanent Address)] in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri R. Vijayan, Bill Collector by the management of Sreekrishnavilasom Chitty Fund w.e.f. 27-8-2010 is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 863/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Executive Secretary & Director General, M/s Bharatheeya Vidhya Bhavan, Munshi Sadan, K. M. Munshi Marg, Chaupathi, Mumbai-400 007, (2) The Secretary, M/s Bharatheeya Vidhya Bhavan, Kochi Kendra, T.D. Road, Kochi-11, (3) Shri E. Ramankutty, Director, Bharatheeya Vidhya Bhavan, T. D. Road, Kochi-11, (4) Shri B. Hareendran, Dean, Bhavans Royal Institute of Management, Thiruvankulam, Thrippunithura, Ernakulam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Vimalkumar Menon, 5B, Layam Square, Layam Road, Kochi-ll in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

- 1. Whether the termination of employment of Shri Vimalkumar Menon, Assistant Registrar by the management of M/s Bharatheeya Vidhya Bhavan, Kochi Kendra, T. D. Road, Kochi-11 is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what are reliefs, the workmen is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,

Under Secretary to Government.